Application No.: 10/506,827 Page 1 of 2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: PAWLISZYN, Janusz B.

Serial No.: 10/506,827

PCT Filing Date: September 7, 2004

Title: MICRO-DEVICES AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES FOR

INVESTIGATION OF BIOLOGICAL SYSTEMS

Group: 1641

Examiner: Jacqueline A. DiRamio Attorney Ref.: PAT 804W-2 US

August 15, 2007

Mail Stop AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, Virginia
22314
U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

RESPONSE TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY AND STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

This is responsive to the Interview Summary Dated August 2, 2007, based on the telephone interview with Examiner Jacqueline DiRamio and Examiner Gail Gabel on **July 31**, **2007**. Additionally, this is further to the amendment submitted on August 3, 2007.

In accordance with MPEP Section 713.04, the formal written reply of August 3, 2007 (which was responsive to the office action of May 29, 2007) incorporates the substance of the interview. The Summary of Record or Interview Requirements requires that the substance of the interview be made of record. The Applicant agrees with the substance as outlined on the Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413), included with the Interview Summary dated August 2, 2007 (page 3).

This, together with the submission by the Applicant on August 3, 2007, accurately reflects the substance of the interview. As stated in the submission of August 3, 2007 with reference to amendments to claims 101, 102 and 105 (on page 9): "In the interview with the

Examiner on July 31, 2007, the Examiner recommended the above-noted amendments be made."

Responsive to the requirements under MPEP 713.04, the Applicant reports that (A) no exhibit was shown nor any demonstration conducted; (B) the claims discussed were primarily claims 101, 102, and 105; (C) the specific prior art discussed is correct in the Interview Summary, in particular: Pompidou et al.; (D) the identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed is correct in the Interview Summary; (E) the general thrust of the principal arguments of the Applicant and the Examiner are accurately identified in the Interview Summary; (F) no pertinent matter was discussed additional to those provided in the Interview Summary; and (G) the general outcome of the interview is accurately stated in the Interview Summary.

It is believed that this information, and the Applicant's acceptance of the comments of the Examiner in the Interview Summary should adequately fulfill the requirements for a formal written statement of the substance of the interview.

Applicant believes that no fee is due with this submission, but nevertheless authorizes the Commissioner to debit any required fee from or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 501593, in the name of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP.

It is submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Early and favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Janusz B. PAWLISZYN

/Kathleen E. Marsman/

By: ______ Kathleen E. Marsman Reg. No. 48,121 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP World Exchange Plaza 100 Queen Street, Suite 1100 Ottawa, ON K1P 1J9 CANADA

Tel: (613) 787-3572 Fax: (613) 787-3558

E-mail: kmarsman@blgcanada.com

KEM/djr